

**SPECIAL FEATURE: NOTE AND COMMENT**

Lessons learned from non-state and subnational climate action



# A policy-relevant research agenda to support accelerated industrial decarbonisation in the UK

Peter Taylor<sup>1,2</sup>  · Imogen Rattle<sup>2</sup>

Received: 11 August 2025 / Accepted: 7 January 2026  
© The Author(s) 2026

## Abstract

This paper outlines a policy-relevant research agenda to accelerate industrial decarbonisation in the UK. It highlights recent policy progress, which has largely focused on energy-intensive industrial clusters, and briefly reviews existing research on technologies, sectors, policies, and economic and social dimensions. Four priority areas are proposed for future research: decarbonising dispersed industrial sites, enabling electrification, developing markets for low-carbon products, and advancing resource efficiency. The agenda emphasises the need for integrated technical, economic, and social research to support policy evolution and industry readiness. By addressing these gaps, the UK can foster a just, cost-effective transition to a low carbon industrial sector, ensuring long-term environmental and economic sustainability.

**Keywords** Industrial decarbonisation · Policies · Research agenda · Electrification · Resource efficiency · Industrial products

## Introduction

In 2021, the UK became the first major economy to launch an industrial decarbonisation strategy (IDS) (HM Government 2021). This set out the Government's ambition to cut greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from industry by at least two-thirds by 2035 and by more than 90% by 2050, as well as outlining the overall approach to achieving these targets. Specific goals were set for 2030 including an initial expectation to capture 3 MtCO<sub>2</sub> through carbon capture and storage (CCS) and replacing around 20 TWh of fossil fuels with lower-carbon alternatives (e.g. hydrogen).

The IDS highlighted that delivering these short-term goals would require aligning existing policy with the UK's overall goal of net zero GHG emissions by 2050 and

filling remaining policy gaps. Consequently, action would be needed across a range of existing and new policy areas including carbon pricing, competitiveness support to protect vulnerable industries, funding for demonstration and deployment of low-carbon technology and fuels, energy and other network infrastructure support, and demand-side measures. In the following two decades, it was expected that the policy regime would develop further by combining incentives to reduce emissions, carbon leakage mitigation, and supporting policy frameworks to address outstanding barriers to decarbonisation (HM Government 2021).

The strategy made an important distinction between industry located in a relatively small number of largely coastal 'clusters', at the time responsible for around half of total industrial emissions, and those industries that lie outside clusters, denoted as 'dispersed sites'. Its initial focus was on the former, with the aim to establish four low-carbon industrial clusters by 2030 and at least one fully net-zero cluster by 2040.

---

Handled by Mikiko Kainuma, IGES: Institute for Global Environment Strategies, Japan.

---

✉ Peter Taylor  
p.g.taylor@leeds.ac.uk

<sup>1</sup> Energy Transition and Net-Zero Research Group, School of Chemical and Process Engineering, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

<sup>2</sup> Sustainability Research Institute, School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

## Key policies for decarbonising UK industry

Since the publication of the IDS and despite a change in government in 2024, the UK has maintained a consistent trajectory in industrial decarbonisation.

Key cross-cutting policies include the UK Emissions Trading Scheme and longstanding Climate Change Levy, which together incentivise emissions reductions through carbon pricing. The Industrial Decarbonisation Challenge (2019–2024) invested over £370 million in low-carbon technologies across five industrial clusters. Building on this, the Cluster Sequencing process, backed by an initial £22 billion over 25 years, with an additional £9.4 billion announced in 2025, supports CO<sub>2</sub> capture projects and shared CO<sub>2</sub> transport and storage infrastructure; with business models for CCS and hydrogen offering long-term operational support. This investment is intended to create four CCS clusters by 2030, storing 20 to 30 MtCO<sub>2</sub> a year. The so-called Track-1 clusters are the East Coast Cluster and HyNet; with Track-2 clusters identified as Acorn and Viking.

The £500 million Industrial Energy Transformation Fund (2020–2025) supported energy efficiency and low-carbon technology adoption across all industrial sectors. The British Industry Supercharger scheme reduces electricity costs for energy-intensive industries, enhancing competitiveness. Smaller companies were the focus of the Local Industrial Decarbonisation Plan competition (2024–2025), which provided £6 million for 13 projects to develop tailored decarbonisation strategies for sites outside clusters. The 2025 Modern Industrial Strategy reinforced these decarbonisation efforts with reforms aimed at lowering electricity costs and promoting electrification, positioning the UK's industrial sector for a low-carbon future.

The future of the UK steel industry has been a particularly contentious issue. Between 1990 and 2023 steel production fell from 17.8 Mt to 5.6 Mt, with only two primary steel-making plants now left in the UK. In September 2024, the Government announced a £500 million grant for the Port Talbot site to help with a £1.25 billion investment to replace the blast furnaces with electric arc furnaces by 2027. This is expected to cut emissions from the plant by over 5 MtCO<sub>2</sub> per year. Then in April 2025, the Government intervened to take control of the steel plant in Scunthorpe to prevent its closure. This action is intended to keep the blast furnaces operational in the short term before transitioning to low-carbon production, such as electric arc furnaces, in the future.

### Latest research on industrial decarbonisation

A review of published research on UK industrial decarbonisation over the period 2020 to 2025 reveals several prominent themes, but also some gaps. A significant strand of research explores low-carbon technologies and fuels, with a particular focus on CCS and hydrogen, which are often seen as being complementary. Studies have explored the performance and deployment of hydrogen and CCS in UK clusters (Akhurst et al. 2021), the potential for repurposing

of submarine pipelines to carry CO<sub>2</sub> and hydrogen (Mahmoud and Dodds 2022), CO<sub>2</sub> storage site selection (Rashidi et al. 2025), economic aspects of CCS (Calvillo et al. 2022; Turner et al. 2021, 2022, 2023a, b) and the benefits, barriers, and justice impacts of industrial decarbonisation using these technologies (Sovacool et al. 2024a). Other studies recommend the establishment of green hydrogen hubs linked to industrial facilities (Dergunova and Lyden 2024) and analyse the costs of generating hydrogen from offshore wind power (Hill et al. 2024). Research on other technologies and fuels is more limited but includes analysis of low-temperature waste heat recovery from industries to decarbonise heat (Muhumuza and Eames 2022) and the implications of network constraints for decarbonising industry through electrification (Gailani and Taylor 2025). Integrated energy system planning tools have also been developed to explore combinations of technologies to decarbonise industrial clusters (Ngwaka et al. 2023; Ogwumike et al. 2024).

Other studies take a sectoral approach to decarbonisation, particularly focusing on foundation industries (Ganzer and Mac Dowell 2023; Hafez et al. 2024; Cooper and Hawkes 2024; Bolson et al. 2026; Whittle et al. 2026). Examples include work on iron and steel (Azimi and van der Spek 2025; Garvey et al. 2022; Geels and Gregory 2023; Griffin and Hammond 2021; Kiessling et al. 2024; Pimm et al. 2021; Richardson-Barlow et al. 2022), cement (Rihner et al. 2025; Sherif et al. 2025; Strunge et al. 2024) chemicals (Oluleye et al. 2024; Patel et al. 2024) and other non-metallic minerals (Griffin et al. 2021; Khalil et al. 2023), plus articles that explore various production processes in food and drink (Garvey et al. 2021; Gao et al. 2025; Malliaroudaki et al. 2023; Rushton-Smith et al. 2025) and paper (Ibn-Mohammed et al. 2025). These studies commonly highlight the need for decarbonisation pathways that embrace a range of technologies, including hydrogen, CCS and electrification, while also stressing the importance of systemic change, such as circular economy strategies, and policy alignment.

Policy frameworks and governance mechanisms are topics of a third strand of research. This reveals that, while government and industry are broadly aligned on their approach to decarbonisation (Hansen et al. 2024), progress has varied across sectors due to a complex interplay of factors, including policy support, international competition, financial performance, and technological feasibility (Koasidis et al. 2020; Geels and Gregory 2024). However, more recent policy developments are showing promise (Sovacool et al. 2024b), although challenges of consistency and comprehensiveness remain (Lockwood et al. 2025). Industrial cluster projects represent a critical focus (Sovacool et al. 2024c) and are diverse in terms of their characteristics (Rattle and Taylor 2025). A key benefit of the cluster approach is it enables feasible and cost-effective deployment of technologies like

CCS and hydrogen fuel switching through coordinated policy, shared infrastructure, and innovation (Herman et al. 2025b; Sovacool et al. 2022) but, as yet, more fundamental transformation of industry is lacking (Finkill et al. 2025). Case studies have explored cluster decarbonisation initiatives in the Teesside (Herman et al. 2025a), Humber (Geels et al. 2023) and Northwest clusters (Clery et al. 2025). To date, there is much less research on how to decarbonise industry outside these clusters (Rattle et al. 2024; Rattle and Taylor 2025).

Research on public acceptance and the social dimensions of the transition highlights that industrial decarbonisation projects are not solely technical endeavours, but are also shaped by social factors, public perceptions, and political considerations (Lewis et al. 2023; Sovacool et al. 2023). The results highlight a critical role for place-based approaches (Devine-Wright 2022; Lai and Devine-Wright 2024; Lai et al. 2025; Smith et al. 2025); stakeholder engagement (Norris et al. 2024) and deliberation (Ostfeld and Reiner 2020), and just transition concepts (Eadson et al. 2023; Upham et al. 2022) in shaping public perceptions (Broecks et al. 2021), expectations (Ambrosio-Albala et al. 2023), discourses (Herman et al. 2024) and support (Gonzalez et al. 2021). A recurring theme is the need for a social licence to operate, especially for technologies like CCS, where trust in institutions and perceived community benefits are essential (Gough and Mander 2022; Clery et al. 2025).

A final strand of research is starting to explore the economic and employment impacts of industrial decarbonisation. This finds that, for most sectors, decarbonisation will have minimal impacts on the overall price of goods, although metals and non-metallic minerals may face more pronounced cost increases (Cooper et al. 2024). However, for some sectors, such as petrochemicals, there may be a conflict between economic and low-carbon reorientation processes (Geels 2022). While decarbonisation has the potential to create new jobs, worker and skills shortages have been identified as a common challenge, with average wage rates increasing as different sectors compete for a limited labour pool (Calvillo et al. 2025).

### **A policy-relevant research agenda to support UK industrial decarbonisation**

Research on industrial decarbonisation in the UK has increased dramatically in recent years, with over twice the number of papers published between 2020 and 2025 compared to the preceding 20 years. The scope of topics covered has also expanded, from a focus on technical studies, to a literature that also explores policy and governance, public perceptions and social and economic dimensions. However,

significant gaps remain, with four areas in particular requiring greater attention.

### **Delivering decarbonisation of dispersed sites**

Dispersed sites now account for more than half of UK industrial greenhouse gas emissions and yet there is relatively little research that focuses on their decarbonisation challenges and possible solutions. As we have previously noted in this journal, challenges include “*the geographical spread of industrial sites, the diversity of sectors involved, the small size and lack of capacity of many of the companies, incomplete information on appropriate abatement options and how these may vary with location, the low TRL of some electrification options combined with the high cost of electricity, uncertainty around the availability and planning of supporting infrastructure and a lack of institutional capacity and leadership at the local level*” (Rattle et al. 2024 p. 117).

Addressing these challenges will require (i) better datasets and mapping tools to locate and characterise dispersed industrial sites, (ii) more complete evidence on the technical and economic viability of a diverse range of technological options (including electrification, bioenergy, and energy efficiency) in different sectoral and site-specific conditions, (iii) evaluating the need for supporting network infrastructure including upgraded electricity transmission and distribution lines, and new hydrogen and CO<sub>2</sub> pipelines together with the potential for co-locating industries to give better access to these infrastructures, (iv) identifying policy gaps and designing innovative funding and financing mechanisms that are inclusive of dispersed sites and small and medium enterprises, (v) exploring successful local governance models and the role of local authorities in enabling decarbonisation (Greater South East Net Zero Hub 2024), (vi) building capacity and collaborative networks between industry, local authorities, and communities and (vii) aligning industrial decarbonisation approaches with Local Area Energy Plans and Regional Energy Strategic Plans to leverage synergies with transport, housing, and renewable energy developments (Rattle et al. 2024).

### **Enabling industrial electrification**

Until recently, the role of electrification as an industrial decarbonisation option has received relatively little research or policy attention when compared to technologies such as CCS and hydrogen that will be particularly important for industrial clusters. However, electrification is likely to play a much more prominent role outside the clusters where many of the less energy intensive and smaller scale industries

dominate. In its modelling for the UK's Seventh Carbon budget (CCC, 2025) the Climate Change Committee found that electrification provides 57% of all emissions reductions in 2040, with heat pumps being particularly important in low-temperature applications.

Many of the cross-cutting electric technologies, such as boilers and heat pumps, are commercially available for lower temperature applications (DESNZ 2024). However, a recent report to government (ERM 2023) highlighted remaining research and demonstration challenges for more complex higher-temperature electrification options in sectors including cement (electric arc calciner), glass (resistance furnace for glass melting), paper (high temperature heat pumps), chemicals (electric crackers), and food and drink (microwave heating). The report notes that many of these challenges relate to developing and demonstrating technologies at a commercial scale, overcoming design challenges and reducing the costs of integration on site with existing systems. It also highlighted wider barriers to electrification, which could also be topics for research, including reducing upfront and operational costs, enabling grid infrastructure upgrades, addressing skills and supply chain shortages and knowledge building.

Further work is also needed to explore how industrial electrification can support system flexibility through demand-side response, whereby industrial users vary or shift electricity demand without compromising production (DESNZ 2025a). This includes research into the technical and economic feasibility of industrial flexibility, its geographical distribution compared to network constraints, plus the role of behind-the-meter generation and storage, and developing the policy frameworks and market incentives required (Rattle et al. 2025).

## Developing markets for low carbon industrial products

The UK's current industrial decarbonisation approach relies heavily on government subsidies to support emerging low-carbon technologies and fuels. While effective in the short term, this approach places considerable strain on public finances and is unlikely to be sustainable in the long run. Acknowledging this, the IDS proposes a shift over the next two decades to a combination of carbon pricing and product labelling and standards, as the primary decarbonisation incentives (HM Government 2021). The UK Government has recently consulted on the necessary policy framework for labelling and standards, with an initial focus on steel, cement and concrete products (DESNZ 2025b). Labels can stimulate demand for low-carbon goods by making their environmental impact visible and comparable, such as through A–G rating scales, guiding consumers toward

greener choices. Over time they can evolve into enforceable minimum standards, phasing out the most carbon-intensive goods and progressively raising the baseline for environmental performance.

While a voluntary labelling scheme has existed in the UK since 2007 (Carbon Trust, n.d.), further research is essential to ensure that more widespread introduction of labelling and standards effectively reduces carbon emissions, avoids carbon leakage, supports industry readiness, minimises costs, and considers impacts on different social groups (CCC 2020). Key topics include developing an embodied emissions reporting framework for a range of materials and products; defining credible benchmarks for what is "low-carbon" across different products; and developing the specifics of policy design (scope, strictness, evolution over time). Research into data precision, completeness, representativeness and consistency is crucial to ensure that both labels and standards are trustworthy. Studies to understand how labels influence purchasing decisions and how standards can drive demand for low-carbon goods could also help tailor programmes to be more effective.

## Realising the potential for resource efficiency

Resource and energy efficiency is recognised as having a significant potential to reduce industrial energy demand and therefore emissions. For instance, Barrett et al. (2022) find that by 2050 industrial energy demand could be reduced by up to 26% from 2020 levels through measures such as improving material efficiency, extending product lifespans, fuel switching, adopting circular economy practices, and using digital technologies to optimise energy use. Most of the savings come from reducing material consumption, rather than improving energy efficiency, for which the further potential is quite small. However, historically there has been little policy attention paid to resource efficiency, when compared to funding for new low carbon fuels and technologies for industrial production (Barrett et al. 2023).

Further research is needed to understand how to deliver these energy and emissions savings while minimising any adverse economic impacts. This includes understanding the barriers to reducing material throughput (e.g., lightweighting, reuse, remanufacturing), exploring Product-Service Systems that incorporate alternative business models to reduce demand by replacing ownership with service-based models (e.g., leasing or sharing platforms) and identifying how co-located industries can realise the potential for industrial symbiosis by sharing resources (e.g., heat, materials). Systemic issues are also worthy of further study, including the impact on production levels and product offerings, broader economic effects of demand-led transitions and how to enact structural change, taking into account the

complex issues of investment and stranded assets (Barrett et al. 2022).

## Conclusions

Accelerating industrial decarbonisation in the UK demands a coordinated, evidence-based approach that addresses technical, economic and social challenges. While progress has been made in recent years, significant research gaps remain – particularly around dispersed sites, electrification, markets for low carbon products and resource efficiency. A robust research agenda must support policy evolution, industry readiness, and public engagement, ensuring that decarbonisation is economically viable, socially just, and environmentally effective. By bridging these gaps, the UK can lead a resilient transition to a low-carbon industrial future.

**Funding** This research was funded by UK Research and Innovation through the UK Energy Research Centre (Grant UKRI098).

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

## References

Akhurst M, Pearce J, Sunny N, Shah N, Goldthorpe W, Avignon L (2021) Tools and options for hydrogen and CCS cluster development and acceleration – UK case study, ELEGANCY project. In: Proceedings of the 15th Greenhouse Gas Control Technologies Conference (GHGT-15). <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3815268>

Ambrosio-Albala P, Upham PJ, Gale WF (2023) Normative expectations of government as a policy actor: the case of UK steel industry decarbonisation. *Int J Sustain Energ* 42(1):594–611. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14786451.2023.2217948>

Azimi A, van der Spek M (2025) Ind Eng Chem Res 64(7):3871–3885. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.4c03321>. Prospective Life Cycle Assessment Suggests Direct Reduced Iron Is the Most Sustainable Pathway to Net-Zero Steelmaking

Barrett J, Pye S, Betts-Davies S, Broad O, Price J, Eyre N, Anable J, Brand C, Bennett G, Carr-Whitworth R, Garvey A, Gieseckam J, Marsden G, Norman J, Oreszczyn T, Ruyssvelt P, Scott K (2022) Energy demand reduction options for meeting National zero-emission targets in the united Kingdom. *Nat Energy* 7:726–735. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-022-01057-y>

Barrett J, Betts-Davies S, Garvey A, Marsden G (2023) The missed opportunity – ignoring the evidence on energy demand reduction. Centre for research into Energy Demand Solutions (CREDS). <https://www.creds.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/CREDS-missed-opportunity-2023.pdf>

Bolson N, Ahmadinia M, Setchi R, Evans SL, Cullen JM (2026) Material circularity in the uk's foundation industries. *Resour Conserv Recycl* 227:108728. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2025.108728>

Broecks K, Jack C, ter Mors E, Boomsma C, Shackley S (2021) How do people perceive carbon capture and storage for industrial processes? Examining factors underlying public opinion in the Netherlands and the united Kingdom. *Energy Res Social Sci* 81:102236. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2021.102236>

Calvillo C, Race J, Chang E, Turner K, Katris A (2022) Characterisation of UK industrial clusters and Techno-Economic cost assessment for carbon dioxide transport and storage implementation. *Int J Greenhouse Gas Control* 103695. 119 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2022.103695>

Calvillo C, Katris A, Race J, Corbett H, Turner K (2025) Regional employment implications of deploying CO<sub>2</sub> transport and storage to decarbonise the UK's industry clusters. *Ecol Econ* 108587. 233 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2025.108587>

Carbon Trust (n.d.) Product carbon footprint label. [online]: <https://www.carbontrust.com/what-we-do/carbon-footprint-labelling/product-carbon-footprint-label>

Clery D, Mander S, Gough C (2025) Social licence in principle and practice: industrial decarbonisation in regional clusters. *Energy Res Social Sci* 127:104187. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2025.104187>

Climate Change Committee (2020) The potential of product standards to address industrial emissions. London: CCC. Available at: <https://www.theccc.org.uk/publication/the-potential-of-product-standards-to-address-industrial-emissions>

Climate Change Committee (2025) The Seventh Carbon Budget: Advice to Government for 2038–2042. [online] Available at: <https://www.theccc.org.uk/publication/the-seventh-carbon-budget/>

Cooper J, Hawkes A (2024) Life cycle environmental trade-off of decarbonising UK industrial clusters — A cradle to gate approach. *Sci Total Environ* 176101. 954 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.176101>

Cooper SJG, Allen SR, Gailani A, Norman JB, Owen A, Barrett J, Taylor P (2024) Meeting the costs of decarbonising industry – The potential effects on prices and competitiveness (a case study of the UK). *Energy Policy* 184:113904. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2023.113904>

Department for Energy Security and Net Zero (DESNZ) (2024) Enabling Industrial Electrification: Call for Evidence – Formal Summary of Responses. [online]: <https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/66e013650f4ba0621b086702/electrification-call-for-evidence-formal-summary-of-responses.pdf>

Department for Energy Security and Net Zero (DESNZ) (2025a) Clean Flexibility Roadmap: Mapping the path to a clean, flexible, consumer-focused electricity system. [online]: <https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/68874ddeb0e1dfe5b5f0e431/clean-flexibility-roadmap.pdf>

Department for Energy Security and Net Zero (DESNZ) (2025b) A policy framework to grow the market for low carbon industrial products: Technical consultation. [online]: <https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/6863f18c08b2f5376121ae9/policy-framework-to-grow-the-market-for-low-carbon-industrial-products-consultation.pdf>

Dergunova T, Lyden A (2024) Great Britain's hydrogen infrastructure development — investment priorities and locational flexibility. *Appl Energy* 375:124017 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2024.124017>

Devine-Wright P (2022) Decarbonisation of industrial clusters: A place-based research agenda. *Energy Res Social Sci* 97:102725. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2022.102725>

Eadson W, van Veelen B, Backius S (2023) Decarbonising industry: A places-of-work research agenda. *Extractive Industries Soc* 15:101307. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exis.2023.101307>

Future Opportunities for Electrification to Decarbonise UK Industry: A Report for DESNZ. ERM, [online] (2023) <https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/67295df0541e1dfbf71e8b50/future-opportunities-for-electrification-to-decarbonise-uk-industry.pdf>

Finkill G, Gough C, Mander S, Jones C (2025) Reconfiguring industry in the United Kingdom. Global lessons for ambition versus policy on the path towards net-zero. *Energy Policy*, 207:114832. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2025.114832>

Gailani A, Taylor P (2025) Assessing electricity network capacity requirements for industrial decarbonisation in great Britain. *Energy Policy* 201:114559. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2025.114559>

Ganzer C, Mac Dowell N (2023) Pathways to net zero for power and industry in the UK. *Int J Greenhouse Gas Control* 125:103887. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgge.2023.103887>

Gao B, Bai H, Blanes R, Zhang Y, Liu H, Tolegenov B, Zhang F (2025) Achieving net zero-emission production through hydrogen: evidence from Scottish whisky industry. *Int J Green Energy* 22(2):320–333. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15435075.2024.2414226>

Garvey A, Norman JB, Owen A, Barrett J (2021) Towards net zero nutrition: the contribution of demand-side change to mitigating UK food emissions. *J Clean Prod* 290:125672. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.125672>

Garvey A, Norman JB, Barrett J (2022) Technology and material efficiency scenarios for net zero emissions in the UK steel sector. *J Clean Prod* 333:130216. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.130216>

Geels FW (2022) Conflicts between economic and low-carbon reorientation processes: insights from a contextual analysis of evolving company strategies in the United Kingdom petrochemical industry (1970–2021). *Energy Res Social Sci* 91:102729. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2022.102729>

Geels FW, Gregory J (2023) Low-carbon reorientation in a declining industry? A longitudinal analysis of coevolving contexts and company strategies in the UK steel industry (1988–2022). *Energy Res Social Sci* 102953. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2023.102953>

Geels FW, Gregory J (2024) Explaining varying speeds of low-carbon reorientation in the United Kingdom's steel, petrochemical, and oil refining industries: a multi-dimensional comparative analysis and outlook. *Energy Res Social Sci* 111:103488. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2024.103488>

Geels FW, Sovacool BK, Iskandarova M (2023) The socio-technical dynamics of net-zero industrial megaprojects: Outside-in and inside-out analyses of the Humber industrial cluster. *Energy Res Social Sci* 98:103003. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2023.103003>

Gonzalez A, Mabon L, Agarwal A (2021) Who wants North sea CCS, and why? Assessing differences in opinion between oil and gas industry respondents and wider energy and environmental stakeholders. *Int J Greenhouse Gas Control* 106:103288. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgge.2021.103288>

Gough C, Mander S (2022) CCS industrial clusters: Building a social license to operate. *Int J Greenhouse Gas Control* 119:103713. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgge.2022.103713>

Greater South East Net Zero Hub (2024) Developing a Framework for Decarbonisation of Local Industrial Clusters: Work Package 1 Summary Report. [online]: <https://www.gsenetzerohub.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2025/01/GSENZH-Industrial-Clusters-WP1-Summary-Report-FINAL-V2.pdf>

Griffin PW, Hammond GP (2021) The prospects for 'green steel' making in a net-zero economy: a UK perspective. *Glob Transit* 3:72–86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.glt.2021.03.001>

Griffin PW, Hammond GP, McKenna RC (2021) Industrial energy use and decarbonisation in the glass sector: A UK perspective. *Adv Appl Energy* 3:100037. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adapen.2021.100037>

Hafez H, Drewniok MP, Velenturf APM, Purnell P (2024) A Resource-Bound critical analysis of the decarbonisation roadmaps for the UK foundation industries by 2050. *Environments* 11(7):153. <https://doi.org/10.3390/environments11070153>

Hansen T, Andersson J, Finstad J, Hanson J, Hellsmark H, Mäkitie T, Nordholm A, Steen M (2024) How aligned are industry strategy and government policy for the decarbonization of energy-intensive process industries? *Clim Policy* 24(9):1149–1162. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2024.2363490>

Herman KS, Chen C-F, Sovacool BK (2024) Framing industrial decarbonization technologies in the public sphere: narratives from the digital 'town square' in the United Kingdom. *Environ Res Commun* 6(12):125006. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2515-7620/ad9891>

Herman KS, Iskandarova M, Sovacool BK (2025a) Imagining a net-zero teesside: actors, networks, and expectations in industrial decarbonisation megaprojects. *Environ Res Commun* 7(1):015007. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2515-7620/ad8f99>

Herman KS, Sovacool BK, Geels FW, Iskandarova M (2025b) Navigating reconfiguration and systems disruption: decarbonization pathways for UK industrial clusters. *Energy* 328:136464. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2025.136464>

Hill SJP, Bamisile O, Hatton L, Staffell I, Jansen M (2024) The cost of clean hydrogen from offshore wind and electrolysis. *J Clean Prod* 445:141162. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2024.141162>

HM Government (2021) Industrial Decarbonisation Strategy. CP 399. London: HM Government. Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/industrial-decarbonisation-strategy>

Ibn-Mohammed T, Serrenho C, Fujii AC, Acquaye H, A., Morrison-Saunders A (2025) Decarbonising the UK pulp, paper and printing sector: balancing sustainability and global competitiveness through insights from LMDI and MRIO analysis. *Energy Econ* 150:108872. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2025.108872>

Khalil AME, Velenturf APM, Ahmadiania M, Zhang S (2023) Context analysis for transformative change in the ceramic industry. *Sustain* (Switzerland) 15(16):12230. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151612230>

Kiessling S, Gohari Darabkhani H, Soliman A-H (2024) Greater energy independence with sustainable steel production. *Sustain* (Switzerland) 16(3):1174. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16031174>

Koasidis K, Nikas A, Neofytou H, Karamaneas A, Gambhir A, Wachsmuth J, Doukas H (2020) The UK and German low-carbon industry transitions from a sectoral innovation and system failures perspective. *Energies* 13(19):4994. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en13194994>

Lai H-L, Devine-Wright P (2024) Imagining and emplacing net zero industrial clusters: A critical analysis of stakeholder discourses. *Geo: Geogr Environ* 11(1):e00139. <https://doi.org/10.1002/geo2.139>

Lai H-L, Devine-Wright P, Hamilton J, Mander S, Clery D, Rattle I, Martin A, Ryder S, Taylor P (2025) A Place-based, just transition framework can guide industrial decarbonisation with a social licence. *Energy Res Social Sci* 121:103967. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2025.103967>

Lewis E, Edwards R, Howe J (2023) Delivering the industrial decarbonisation challenge: geographical considerations for decarbonisation. *Geography* 108(2):86–94. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00167487.2023.2217631>

Lockwood M, Herman K, Iskandarova M, Pultar A, Ferrier J, Sovacool B (2025) Beyond industrial decarbonisation strategy: lessons from the bottom-up policy mix in the United Kingdom, 2021–2023. *Energy Res Social Sci* 130:104431. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2025.104431>

Mahmoud RMA, Dodds PE (2022) A technical evaluation to analyse of potential repurposing of submarine pipelines for hydrogen and CCS using survival analysis. *Ocean Eng* 266(2):112893. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oceaneng.2022.112893>

Malliaroudaki MI, Watson NJ, Glover ZJ, Nchari LN, Gomes RL (2023) Net zero roadmap modelling for sustainable dairy manufacturing and distribution. *Chem Eng J* 475:145734. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2023.145734>

Muhumuza R, Eames P (2022) Decarbonisation of heat: analysis of the potential of low temperature waste heat in UK industries. *J Clean Prod* 372:133759. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.133759>

Ngwaka U, Khalid Y, Ling-Chin J, Counsell J, Siddiqui F, Pinedo-Cuenca R, Dawood H, Smallbone A, Dawood N, Roskilly AP (2023) Industrial cluster energy systems integration and management tool. *Energy Conv Manag* 297:117731. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2023.117731>

Norris L, Bristow G, Cotterill E, Healy A, Marshall AP (2024) Decarbonisation, place attachment and agency: just transition in old industrial regions. *Contemp Social Sci* 19. <https://doi.org/10.80/21582041.2024.2323106>

Ogwumike C, Akponeware A, Oyewole A, Dawood H, Pinedo-Cuenca R, Ling-Chin J, Roskilly AP, Dawood N (2024) Transitioning or tinkering at a net-zero economy? Introducing an assessment framework for industrial cluster decarbonisation in the united Kingdom. *Energy Res Social Sci* 110:103459. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2024.103459>

Oluleye G, Patel D, Matalon P, Rosa EM (2024) A novel optimisation framework to design Market-Based policy interventions for the uptake of alternative fuels in the UK chemical industry. *Comput Aided Chem Eng* 2089–2094. 53 <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-443-28824-1.50349-5>

Ostfeld R, Reiner DM (2020) Public views of scotland's path to decarbonization: evidence from citizens' juries and focus groups. *Energy Policy* 140:111332. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2020.111332>

Patel DN, Matalon P, Oluleye G (2024) A novel Temporal mixed-integer market penetration model for cost-effective uptake of electric boilers in the UK chemical industry. *J Clean Prod* 446:141156. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2024.141156>

Pimm AJ, Cockerill TT, Gale WF (2021) Energy system requirements of fossil-free steelmaking using hydrogen direct reduction. *J Clean Prod* 127665. 312 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.1.27665>

Rashidi S, Shariatiour S, Bagheri M (2025) CO<sub>2</sub> storage site selection: A comprehensive review of current approaches. *Greenh Gases Sci Technol*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ghg.2349>

Rattle I, Taylor PG (2025) Clusters in context: towards a typology of industrial decarbonisation initiatives. *Geo: Geogr Environ* 12:e70000. <https://doi.org/10.1002/geo2.7000>

Rattle I, Gailani A, Taylor PG (2024) Decarbonisation strategies in industry: going beyond clusters. *Sustain Sci* 19:105–123. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-023-01313-4>

Rattle I, Taylor P, Shah M, Adesina P, Wu J (2025) *Industrial Demand Flexibility: Research Priorities*. UKERC Meeting Report. <https://ukerc.ac.uk/publications/industrial-demand-flexibility-research-priorities/>

Richardson-Barlow C, Pimm AJ, Taylor PG, Gale WF (2022) Policy and pricing barriers to steel industry decarbonisation: A UK case study. *Energy Policy* 168:113100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2022.113100>

Rihner MCS, Hafez H, Walkley B, Purnell P, Drewniok M (2025) Thousand cuts: a realistic route to decarbonise the UK cement and concrete sector by 2050. *Sustainable Prod Consum* 58:319–333. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2025.06.010>

Rushton-Smith F, Matthew C, Spataru C (2025) Techno-economic assessment of green hydrogen production for decarbonizing the whisky industry on Islay, Scotland. *Int J Hydron Energy* 128:559–573. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2025.04.132>

Sherif Z, Sarfraz S, Jolly M, Saloniatis K (2025) A Critical Review of the Decarbonisation Potential in the U.K. Cement Industry. *Materials*, 18(2), 292. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma18020292>

Smith H, Henwood K, Pidgeon N (2025) The infrastructural ecologies of industrial decarbonisation: visual methods and psychosocial logics in place-based public engagement. *Energy Res Social Sci* 120:103874. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2024.103874>

Sovacool BK, Geels FW, Iskandarova M (2022) Industrial clusters for deep decarbonization. *Science* 378(6620):601–604. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.add0402>

Sovacool BK, Iskandarova M, Geels FW (2023) Bigger than government: exploring the social construction and contestation of net-zero industrial megaprojects in England. *Technol Forecast Soc Chang* 122332. 188 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2023.122332>

Sovacool BK, Del Rio DF, Herman K, Iskandarova M, Uratani JM, Griffiths S (2024a) Reconfiguring European industry for net-zero: a qualitative review of hydrogen and carbon capture utilization and storage benefits and implementation challenges. *Energy Environ Sci* 17:3523–3569. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D3EE03270A>

Sovacool BK, Herman KS, Iskandarova M, Hall JK (2024b) Oh Yes! Net-Zero: sociotechnical capabilities and regional innovation systems for British industrial decarbonization. *Environ Innov Societal Transitions* 51:100852. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eist.2024.100852>

Sovacool BK, Iskandarova M, Geels FW (2024c) Leading the post-industrial revolution? Policy windows, issue linkage and decarbonization dynamics in the uk's net-zero strategy (2010–2022). *Ind Corp Change* 33(6):1487–1517. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icct/dtae015>

Strunge T, Küng L, Sunny N, Shah N, Renforth P, Van der Spek M (2024) Finding least-cost net-zero CO<sub>2</sub>e strategies for the European cement industry using Geospatial techno-economic modelling. *RSC Sustain* 2(10):3054–3076. <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4s00373j>

Turner K, Race J, Alabi O, Katris A, Swales JK (2021) Policy options for funding carbon capture in regional industrial clusters: what are the impacts and trade-offs involved in compensating industry competitiveness loss? *Ecol Econ* 184:106978. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2021.106978>

Turner BK, Race J, Alabi O, Calvillo C, Katris A, Swales K (2022) Policy trade-offs in introducing a CO<sub>2</sub> transport and storage industry to service the uk's regional manufacturing clusters. *Ecol Econ* 201:107547. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2022.107547>

Turner K, Katris A, Karim Zanhoud A, Calvillo C, Race J (2023a) The potential importance of exploiting export markets for CO<sub>2</sub> transport and storage services in realising the economic value of Scottish CCS. *Local Econ* 38(3):264–281. <https://doi.org/10.1177/02690942231203932>

Turner K, Race J, Alabi O, Katris A, Swales K (2023b) The relationship between a 'polluter pays' approach to carbon capture, regional policy and 'just transition' employment agendas. *Clim*

Policy 23(3):366–378. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2022.2110031>

Upham P, Sovacool B, Ghosh B (2022) Just transitions for industrial decarbonisation: A framework for innovation, participation, and justice. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 167:112699. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2022.112699>

Whittle JW, Rihner MCS, Hafez H, Espinosa RME, Fletcher DI, Walkley B, Koh LSC (2026) From symbiosis to scarcity: evaluating

disruption associated with decarbonisation to circular waste materials between the UK cement and steel sectors. *Resour Conserv Recycl* 224:108560. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2025.108560>

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.